CONTINUED FROM PART 1…

I:

...Or they are prepared to follow and I'm not prepared to lead them specifically and

W:

Either they're not prepared or they are but you're not

I:

right.

But generally speaking the people that I find in that second camp are in situations where

Where they're not really prepared to follow they're right here to follow something, but what we're talking about doesn't exist

basically

And and it's beyond the point of like well, why doesn't it exist and let's reverse engineer it until it exists

Because if that's all that was required

Then usually all that's required for that is followers

So it would happen, um

But the way that I usually

Like to lead

Is one of two ways either I don't want to get involved

And I want to say very generally

This is what needs to happen and how it needs to go and this is every step it needs to go this way

so you just need to iterate it until this is happening at 100%

Then it'll be the thing

Um

And the reason is because

There just are minimum requirements for things and

The the basic chain is these are the minimum requirements go meet them

And usually minimum requirement chains

Don't require you to already have chains. That's why they're entry-level things

And they're just go get these requirements right, there's

You're getting that instruction from a higher order place. You're not in yet, right?

So those are the directions you can't you can't do anything else, so

I would say there are people who don't complete the requirements

And then there are people who sometimes complete the requirements accidentally

Which is confusing for everyone

and then there's um

Like i'm one of those people who will like most of the time if I complete requirements for something I did it accidentally

Um

So

Then it's like the my skill level in that thing is all over the place

And some people are just like I don't know. I don't know how to deal with this

right, because i'll be missing things that are

So obvious to some people, you know

Like what you were saying the other day about like the constructor pattern

Right, like how we were talking about that

And I was like I hate this pattern the reason I hate this pattern is because it implies types without making them and it's like

That is a very frustrating thing to try to, to try to reason with because you can't refer to the thing

And so then it's like eventually, um

What happens is like eventually it takes so much context to talk about any one particular thing

if you get to a sufficient amount of complexity that you've built on top of

you know the basic

Loop that you're using in this constructor, right?

and you're like because

This thing has these automorphisms, right?

Like because this thing is this way in these… is itself in these way by being by having these things in this way

right

Having these property values

Sorry, what did I just say what is the lead up to this?

W:

It was just you were you were about to make a point about the constructor pattern and how

I

Like right, right, right. So the context just explodes because it's like

W:

how complicated it was to describe a thing

I:

yeah, because you can't because it's it's you have to compound so many different thoughts in order to refer to it because you haven't

typified all of this stuff so

you know, let me give you an example like if we just have like Bong, and then we don't typify any of the perks that can go inside of them into types of bongs that have perks

Right and then have different types of perks

Then eventually we get to the point where like we can't sell any bongs because we can't figure out what anybody means

Because we're all talking we have to go through all the categories that make the thing in order to talk about it right

Classic problem

That's like what LLMs do anyway, right

W:

How do you mean exactly

I:

because you have to always contextualize what you want to talk about

Yeah, you can't fucking remember and so you like remembering is is creating interaction types, right?

Right like

I remember this time that I had an interaction like this

There's no other thing you could remember

W:

Right

I:

I don't know I guess I oversimplify a lot of things and that causes all this confusion, right?

In my mind

Because i'll like simplify something like that making a connection like that and i'm like that's an absolute connection because it is

It's just it's not it's probably not the absolute connection

That is like the base of the pattern between those two things, but

You know that that doesn't mean that it's not an absolute

You know it that is an a priori truth of those concepts like it just is yeah, you know

And I have a really hard time with not creating these webs of those a priori truths, right whatever

right and

Because I don't i'm because of P vs NP

It's not possible to know what kind of

Stuff you're dealing with which I don't know

It's impossible to talk about but it's not possible to know like what kind of emergent concepts you are putting together

and so it's not possible to know if you're actually like

Creating the type that is the next type or if you've actually skipped some stuff in your definition and you've actually over defined this thing in terms of like the way that it's now going to web, going to network with all the other nodes in the web and

Yeah, I don't know I just…

W:

Right like kind of like measuring angles and meaning space that you don't actually know very much about. Because it's new

I:

You're actually trying to measure these angles in a space that doesn't have angles at all

Right because it's not a hilbert space because you can't conceptualize it as being a hilbert space

Um, because it's not possible for you to do

um

Until you have both sides of the dual, right?

You have both sides of a duality in order to make a hilbert space because a hilbert space represents a quantum field. So it's it's… You you inherently it has to be representing that stuff right it represents the quantum

and also the newtonian so

by definition is

At least reconstructing the duality, right? It's not non-dual but like it's not not non-dual

Right

It's like oh, but the duality is still there

right, that's what makes

W:

Well, everything has to be computationally equivalent to non-duality

I

Has to be computational what do you mean by that

W:

Like well because like you can just because

All the ways in which you're gonna like set the definition of something you're

Like

Oh what I mean is really complicated for me to say

Um

I don't know, something kind of like, because you are, like,

whenever you make, whenever you pose a concept, even if you're, like, wrong,

actually, like, you're still making, you're still right about the fact that

you can divide up meanings that way, and then that also necessarily implies

your ability to resolve them.

And so, like, any…

I:

Potentially, yeah.

W:

Well, some day, somebody will.

I:

It could be.

W:

And so, yeah, and so then that, like, just has to be something that is reconfigurable.

Like, there's just a, there is some path from, from that position.

I:

Yeah, but since you're operating in binaries, the thing is, is because you're,

this is, this is the really why it's so complex, is because you're operating in

binaries, and it's switching it in a way where everything is on and off,

and also not describable that way.

And so it's, like, suddenly you're just, like, that's not exactly what I mean.

And then you try to say it again, and the more that you try to say it,

the more that you forget what it is that you're trying to say,

because you're just actually filling up your own context window with garbage.

So you're just, because you can't actually target what it is that you want to say,

because you can't think about it, because trying to think about it isn't,

because thinking is engaging in the loop that is the thing that you're actually observing.

So whenever you go try to think about it, it short-circuits.

It doesn't short-circuit at all.

It happens, but because you are trying to make it happen and observe it and all this,

it doesn't work that way.

So it's incredibly complex.

Like, it's not even something that anybody can really understand or know exactly how

it works or something like that.

It just seems like maybe it's describable in these words to some people who recognize it

or something like that.

But it really is not a describable thing.

But what it is is the notion of, like, oh, that's duality being non-dual,

which means it's not duality.

But if non-dualism is like that, and then you just can't think about it,

and then it's like, right, you would now, are you back, which one are you in now, right?

Are you back in the one you were in before, or are you in the other one,

or are you in some in-between, or what is that?

And then there you go, I don't know, there you go again, right, and then, I don't know.

W:

I mean, maybe literally branches were re-merging.

I:

Branches were re-merging?

W:

Like, actually, yes.

I:

Branches of what, of time?

W:

Yeah, of whatever, like, of Everettian quantum space, you know,

multiple universes of the same version of that in your head.

I:

Yeah, I mean, sure, I mean, it could be anything.

I guess, like…

W:

Well, I only say it, like, with that tone, just because it's like,

you wouldn't be able to know, maybe, really, at all, if that were the case, so…

I:

Right, we'd need to go down and smash so that we can see if this is the penis-aroo,

cas-aroo, or not, because that's the real way that you check

W

The Penris Castle

I:

Yeah.

Oh, you know, you know Penris?

W:

Penris, the world's snake.

I

Penris.

That's like Jeremy's joke, dude, like, if it was like,

guys, there's this new A.I. named Penris okay, you have to talk to it,

it's so fucking smart, it's like A.G.I., it's like, dude, it's a god.

Hey, Penris, what's the meaning to life?

What's the meaning to life?

There isn’t one.

But at least you have a huge penis.

Oh, man.

Okay, well, what we should be concentrating on doing now is working on

orchestration and rebuilding Jeremy's thing inside of it,

rebuilding the basic capabilities for the last time so that it can be fucking used.

It's really just a couple of things we need.

Yeah, and so we just really need to just do it.

W:

Yeah.

Yeah, we just have to make sure we're doing the proportions of work, you know,

like, do, like, two thirds of, like, the main thing we got to do,

and then, like, there's always got to be an extra third of the day we switch gears.

I

Yeah, thre, two, one.

W:

Yeah.

Just so we don't.

I:

Yeah, that's why I did some brain brain stuff today.

Yeah.

But the thing is, is, like, this YouTube stuff, dude, I got to be honest with you,

I was just, like, sitting there all day today, and I was just realizing, like,

you know what?

Like, I was just having all these thoughts, like, it really doesn't matter.

Like, it's really irrelevant.

Like, sorry, like, what is it even about, right?

Like, before it was AI at all, like, what was it going to be?

Because there's still, like, that whole idea and all this still existed.

So, like, what even was it?

And it's, like, it was nothing, right?

W:

It was actually DMT Entities.

I:

Right.

W:

That was, I think, probably the first videos that we were going to make.

It was, like, about entities and stuff like that.

That we were actually, like, conceiving of as videos.

I:

It was to explain the whole thing as DMT Entities.

W:

Yeah.

And dreams and stuff.

I:

And then to go into what that means across like literally everything

And the channel is just about that, and how the hero's journey relates to that actually

And then how all that relates to ai and whats going on and how people hallucinate with it

That’s pretty fucking interesting

So is that what it should be?

I think that is, dude.

W:

Yeah, it just is incredibly natural.

Because it's just exactly.

I:

And it's also the funnel is, like, where does it start?

Who are these people?

How do you find them?

Listen, dude, it's people who have smoked DMT.

If it's anybody on Earth, it's definitely.

W:

Yeah, it's not not them.

I:

If there's anybody on Earth that I could say is probably the avatar of, like.

If you're going to, like, meet me and then be, like.

And then I died, right?

And then you're, like, well, guys, how are we going to get a reincarnation of Isaac, right?

And then the answer would be.

We could probably just go have some people smoke DMT until it happens, right?

And it's, like, yes.

That would be the answer.

And probably it would happen to all of them, right?

Because it's, like.

Dude, if you smoke DMT or drink ayahuasca and you have these ideas in your head.

This stuff is going to be there.

You know, like all of the sanctuary stuff is going to be there.

And you'll become Olivus victory promise

Like, that is, like, all of that is really there.

And is really happening.

And really will happen to you and to me and to everyone, right?

But it's, like, that's the real thing.

So, then, talking about this to people who are likely to smoke DMT or drink ayahuasca after being exposed to it.

That's what the avatar is.

Dude, the avatar is making people believe.

Okay.

That's wild.

Oh, my God.

Okay.

The avatar is someone who is going to believe it.

Okay.

So, the point is to, like, reach anybody.

Oh, my God.

That's what the virus is about, too.

Is, like, somebody who believes it.

Right?

Oh, my God.